

# Australia's 2035 Climate Target: What It Is, What It Means, and How It Stacks Up for the Promotional Products Industry

## What's Been Announced

The Australian Government has set a target of reducing Australia's greenhouse gas emissions by 62-70% below 2005 levels by 2035.

This forms part of a broader plan: to maintain the pathway toward net zero emissions by 2050.

Along with the target, the government released related policy documents: the Net Zero Plan (<https://www.dcceew.gov.au/climate-change/publications/net-zero-plan>), the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) to the UN, and various sectoral plans detailing how to achieve reductions across energy, transport, industry, etc.

## Why It Matters

This is being considered a “sliding doors moment” by climate experts. We are at a critical decision point for how severe climate change impacts will be in Australia and globally.

Australia is already experiencing severe climate impacts: heatwaves, fires, floods, etc. Cutting emissions deeply and soon is seen as necessary to reduce future harm to lives, economy and environment.

**The scale of the target sends signals: it influences investment in clean energy, infrastructure, industries, policy, regulation. Firms, governments, and communities need certainty to plan.**

## Is the Target Strong Enough?

This is one of the big debates.

Supporters of the target argue:

The 62–70% range is significantly more ambitious than previous targets. It marks real movement beyond incrementalism.

The upper end (70%) comes closer to what many independent analysts consider technically and economically possible. Several modelling studies suggest emission decreases of at least 75% by 2035 are feasible.

Choosing a stronger target could generate greater economic growth and unlock more investment. For example, modelling suggests that aiming for ~75% could add large amounts to GDP compared to a more modest target.

#### Criticisms / concerns:

Some say that even 70% (or the lower bound, 62%) is still *below* what scientists say is needed to avoid the most severe climate impacts, especially given the urgency of maintaining warming “well below 2°C” and aiming for 1.5°C.

Others point out that despite the announcement, the actual strength of future policy will matter enormously: how quickly programs are implemented; whether fossil fuel expansions are still approved; whether offsets are used instead of real emissions cuts.

#### **How Australia’s Target Compares Globally**

- Australia’s 62–70% by 2035 is **more ambitious** than some comparable countries (e.g. New Zealand, Japan, Canada) but not the most ambitious.
- For example, the UK has committed to about a **78% reduction** by 2035 vs 2005 levels.
- Because of differences in starting years, sectors covered, treatment of land-use, forestry, offsets etc., comparisons are approximate. But overall: Australia’s target is seen as above average among wealthy nations, though still behind the strongest commitments.

#### **What Needs to Happen Next (Challenges & Actions)**

**Policy & Regulatory Implementation:** It’s not enough to set a target; laws, regulatory frameworks, and strong sectoral policies are needed to deliver tangible results. This includes energy generation, fossil fuel approvals, transport regulation, industrial emissions, etc.

**Fossil Fuel Projects:** Some criticism has emerged since 2022, following approvals for several new or expanded coal, gas, or oil projects have been approved — which may make achieving deep cuts harder.

**Transparency & Accountability:** The key will be how emissions are counted (including land use / forestry), what role offsets play, how fossil fuel exports are treated (since emissions “burnt overseas” from exported coal or gas still contribute to global warming). Tracking will likely be based on a number of different metrics, examples of guidelines include:

- IPCC Guidelines (Emissions Accounting, incl. land use) <https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/>
- Paris Agreement, Article 13 (Transparency & Accountability) [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgiclfindmkaj/https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english\\_paris\\_agreement.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgiclfindmkaj/https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf)
- Paris Agreement, Article 6 (Offsets) [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgiclfindmkaj/https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english\\_paris\\_agreement.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgiclfindmkaj/https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf)
- IPCC Guidelines (Combustion-Based Accounting) [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgiclfindmkaj/https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/2\\_Volume2/V2\\_2\\_Ch2\\_Stationary\\_Combustion.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcgiclfindmkaj/https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/2_Volume2/V2_2_Ch2_Stationary_Combustion.pdf)
- Climate TRACE, CDP, Global Carbon Atlas (Tracking Platforms) <https://climatetrace.org/>, <https://www.cdp.net/en>, <https://globalcarbonatlas.org/>

**Public & Business Support:** A number of businesses, community groups, unions etc. are urging the target to be stronger. According to the sources, many Australians support at least a 75% reduction.

## Steps for the Promotional Products Industry:

### 1. Map Your Emissions Footprint

- Gather data on materials used (cotton, plastics, metals etc.), where they come from, energy involved in manufacture, shipping paths (mode, distance), packaging, end of life.
- Estimate your Scope 3 emissions (supplier & shipping especially).

### 2. Audit Suppliers & Materials

- Ask your suppliers: What is their energy source? Do they have sustainability or carbon emissions targets? Are materials recycled or certified?
- Explore sourcing materials with lower carbon footprints (recycled, certified, alternative fibres, bioplastics etc.).

### **3. Optimise Shipping Logistics**

- Minimise air shipments; consolidate orders; consider sea freight even if lead time increases.
- Explore freight providers or forwarders offering carbon-neutral shipping or using cleaner fuels.

### **4. Design for Low Carbon**

- Prioritise durability, reusability, recyclability.
- Reduce packaging, use sustainable packaging materials.
- Consider modular designs, e.g. products that can reuse parts or have long life.

### **5. Certifications and Reporting**

- Use certifications (e.g. FSC, GOTS, OEKO-TEX, recycled content labels) where relevant.
- Be ready for buyer requests / procurement tenders requiring environmental data, emissions reporting.
- Publish a sustainability policy or disclosures so clients can see where you stand.

### **6. Communicate & Differentiate**

- Be transparent about what you are doing (and not doing).
- Educate clients about why some sustainable options cost more or take more lead time.

### **7. Watch Regulatory & Policy Developments**

- Keep track of changes in carbon pricing, shipping regulation, product standards, procurement rules (especially government contracts).
- Be aware of potential border carbon adjustment mechanisms (if Australia or importing countries impose “green tariffs”) which could affect cost competitiveness.

***Not sure where to start with mapping your emissions? Join APPA's Promoting a Better Future Program and become a Founding Member to receive a step by step guide.***

**Summary:**

<b>Aspect</b>	<b>Likely Impacts</b>	<b>Potential Challenges</b>	<b>Possible Opportunities</b>
<b>Supplier Requirements &amp; Materials</b>	Suppliers (especially overseas) will face stricter regulations, and likely increased costs of energy, carbon compliance. Materials like conventional plastics, non-certified cotton, synthetics will be more scrutinised.	Higher procurement costs; possible delays; needing to switch suppliers or materials; needing certifications (recycled content, sustainable sourcing).	Differentiation: offering recycled, certified, biodegradable items; being able to show lower emissions can be a selling point. Suppliers that adjust early may have competitive advantage.
<b>Freight &amp; Shipping Costs</b>	Shipping (sea and air) will increasingly incur carbon costs or regulatory burdens; delays or extra cost for low-emissions or compliant logistics.	Freight cost volatility; needing to plan shipments differently; possibly higher cost for fast or air ship options; cost of obtaining "green" shipping credentials.	Ability to offer low-carbon shipping options; batch shipping; local or regional sourcing; using ocean rather than air freight; partnerships with logistics providers who are investing in greener fuel technologies.
<b>Regulation &amp; Buyer Demands</b>	Buyers (corporate/Government) will demand proof of sustainability, emissions footprints, recycled content, etc. Possibly mandatory reporting (including Scope 3).	Need for data collection, tracking, auditing; more complex procurement/tender requirements; possible loss of clients if you can't meet sustainability criteria.	Early adoption of reporting, eco-certifications; developing "sustainable product lines"; positioning as a supplier for "green" events/products; possibly premium for green credentials.

<b>Cost Structure &amp; Margins</b>	Upfront costs likely to rise (materials, shipping, compliance). Some product categories might become more expensive or difficult to source.	Margin compression if costs can't be passed on; risk of stock obsolescence if favoured product types (high-plastic / throwaway) fall out of favour; operational changes (new materials, packaging).	Products with longer life, reuse, recyclability may offer better value; opportunity to redesign for lower carbon and improved durability; shift toward higher-quality items or services (e.g. virtual promotions) may be rewarded.
<b>Innovation &amp; Product Lifecycle</b>	Greater focus on lifecycle emissions (materials → manufacturer → shipping → usage → end-of-life). Products designed for low impact or reuse will increasingly matter.	Need investment in design; possibly higher unit costs; supply chain constraints for “green” materials; needs for circular economy programs or recycling / takeback.	First movers in durable, reusable, recyclable, or biodegradable promos; product innovation (e.g. smart products, refillable, modular); maybe offering end-of-life services; branding tied to sustainability can provide edge.
<b>Reputational &amp; Market Positioning</b>	Customer expectations are rising; being seen as “lagging” on sustainability can damage brand; conversely, strong sustainability credentials can win business.	Risk of “greenwashing” accusations if claims are vague or not evidence-backed; cost/effort to document credentials; risk if standards tighten.	Real sustainability can become a core of your value proposition; marketing & ESG reporting; attracting clients in sectors with strict procurement standards (government, education, etc.).

### Like to learn more about the program?

Please contact **APPA Sustainability Manager, Ciara Brennan**

**E:** [ciara@appa.com.au](mailto:ciara@appa.com.au)

**M:** +61 400 123 110